2 m. 375

	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Director of Joint Computer Support
	SUBJECT :	Concurrence for Consultant Services for STATSPEC RAPID System
STATSPEC	REFERENCES :	(a) My memo for D/JCS, Concurrence in Acquisition of RAPID System, dtd 26 Jun 74, with concurrence by D/JCS dtd 26 Jun 74
		(b) Draft Request to Procurement Division for Services, undated
	·	(c) Copy of unsolicited proposal STATINTL dtd 12 June 75
STATINTL		STATSPEC
STATINTL	a contract services for the RAPID system beit the original con 2. As set	recurrence is requested in my initiating action for to provide consultant to provide consultant to provide consultant at testing, installation and acceptance of the ng developed and manufactured by California. In reference (a), you concurred in tract action for procurement of the system. STATINTL STATINTL STATINTL
STATSPEC	of installation preparation and and carry out fa	atation for the purpose of advising on the credibility and performance schedules, participate in the monitoring of functional and system testing, prepare illure mode and recovery testing, and write an report. The company's preliminary bid is \$56,792, is attached.
STATSPEC	installation of venture into aut keystone of liably support r clock responsibi	will recall from discussions last year, with this system will be making its first major comation. When completed, the system will be the overseas and Headquarters operations and must re- real-time operations in response round-the- lities to the Intelligence Community. The system has me in measured steps, benefiting from models, advice
		CTATOREC

MORI/CDF

STATSPEC

S	TATINTL	

and participation of other contractors and consultants and many people within the Agency, especially in OJCS. The system is developmental for both the contractor, The company has a substantial vested interest in the successful completion of the project (fixed price of \$942,500, about \$750,000 less than actual cost of development).

- 4. Our interest in obtaining third-party assistance in testing and accepting the system is dictated by a number of considerations:
 - a. Although the contractor has shown good faith in its efforts to date to build a satisfactory system, performance has been poor in the area of documentation. The company has been laggard in supplying schedules and progress reports and the papers that have been furnished have been sketchy and incomplete. It has yet to furnish detailed installation, cutover, testing and training plans and we have misgivings about its ability to do a proper job in these areas without substantial input on our part.
 - b. Our own resources to meet this need are inadequate.

 We are forming a small systems staff (including personnel on rotation from OJCS), but the two staffers now on duty are heavily involved in learning the architecture and programming of the system they will eventually manage. The system manager has done a good deal of spadework in outlining acceptance test objectives, but we do not feel that he is in a position to take full responsibility for system testing and acceptance without experienced help from outside

 STATSPEC

c. Although OJCS provided invaluable assistance in the system specification and proposal evaluation stages of RAPID, STATINTL we deem it unlikely that OJCS has the available resources to provide the support we need at this stage. The system is building employs the company's own hardware and software and embodies a design which, as far as we know, has no counterpart within the Agency.

1	5. would	Delivery of the first elements of the system is imminent appreciate your earliest response to this proposal.				

-2-

STATSPEC

Attachments: ATT A - Memo of Concurrence in Acquisition ATT B - Request to Procurement Division fo ATT C - Proposal for Test Support	s of RAPID System (ATF r Services (Form 2420)	h
CONCURRENCE:	STATINTL	
Discourse		
Director of Joint Computer Support	Date	
Distribution: Original & 1 - Addressee		
(2)- O/Dir/FBIS 1 - &太麗森RS C/ATF 2 - E&PS		
1 - FBIS Exec Registry		
DLGI1mar (2 July 1975)		

STAT